SCHURCEL Tables.

Pivot Tables are great, no doubt about that, but there is one specific thing that can be frustrating when using and reusing them. *Pivot tables automatically remove items that result in zero when filtered*. So a data set with 50 total entries will reduce to 40 rows if 10 of the items in the list would be zero given the filters. There is a way to work around this to maintain your structure.

What could you use this trick to accomplish? The ideal use is leveraging standard lists as opposed to the dynamic lists pivot tables use, this way you issue a standard report where everything is in the same place week-to-week. These formulas also automatically update, instead of the manual refresh that pivot tables need, and they're simpler than =GETPIVOTDATA. Using this method, you get many of the pivot tables advantages without losing consistent structure in the data you want to present.

Here is our dataset:

File	Home Insert	: Page Layou	ut Fo	ormulas Data	Review	View	Developer	F	lelp 🖓	Tell me w	vhat you wan	t to d	lo	_			
		è [From	v Queries		Connect		2↓ ZAZ	Y	Clear				→	⇒	
From		o Other Exist		New		Refres	h		Z↓ Sort	Filter	Advance			Flash	Remove		ate Relationships
Access		rces • Conne	ctions	Query - Co Rece		All -	ි Edit Link					ea	Columns	Fill	Duplicates Val		D
	Get External	Data		Get & Trans	form		Connections			Sort & Fi	ilter					Data Tools	
C9	×	$\sqrt{-f_x}$	Ame	rican													
	A B	С	D	E	F		G			н			1		J	К	L
1 Nu	mber 🔻 Gender 🔻	NameSet 🔻	Title	GivenName	MiddleIni	itial 💌	Surname	✓ St	reetAddres	5		Cit	y		▼ State	✓ StateFull	▼ ZipCode ▼
2	1 female	American	Mrs.	Barbara	М		McCray	30	28 Beechwo	od Aver	nue	Be	videre		NJ	New Jersey	7823
з	2 male	American	Mr.	Eli	M	1	Motyka	13	392 Braxton	Street		Sor	monauk		IL	Illinois	60552
4	3 male	American	Mr.	Ron	J		Eichorn	33	542 Half and	Half Driv	ve	Alp	baugh		CA	California	93219
5	4 female	American	Ms.	Anne	P		Anderson	34	177 Callison	Lane		Ne	wark		DE	Delaware	19714
6	5 female	American	Mrs.	Kathleen	А		Ferguson	60	08 Friendshi) Lane		Sar	nta Clara		CA	California	95050
7	6 female	American	Ms.	Ann	R		Cheek	4	710 Millbroo	k Road		Au	rora		IL	Illinois	60506
8	7 female	American	Ms.	Jennifer	N	1	Woodward	18	329 Byrd Lan	e		Alk	ouquerque		NM	New Mexico	87102
9	8 female	American	Mrs.	Devona	А	-	Thompson	39	940 Stutler La	ane		Joh	nstown		PA	Pennsylvania	a 15904
10	9 female	American	Mrs.	Leah	G		Fulton	28	332 Sunset D	rive		Tri	gg		AR	Arkansas	12345
11	10 female	American	Ms.	Ilana	н		Deer	4	734 Flinderat	ion Roa	d	Sch	naumburg		IL	Illinois	60173
12	11 male	American	Mr.	Andrew	J		Cummings	46	555 Highland	View D	rive	Sac	ramento		CA	California	95815
13	12 male	American	Mr.	Raymond	V		Lee	73	23 Parkway D	rive		Ph	oenix		AZ	Arizona	85034
14	13 female	American	Mrs.	Amelia	D		Hills	7	LO Bel Meado	ow Drive	2	Los	Angeles		CA	California	90017
15	14 male	American	Mr.	Thomas	Y		Brown	12	264 Cambrid	ge Court	t	For	rt Smith		AR	Arkansas	72908
16	15 male	American	Mr.	Alan	s		Alvarez	4	L40 Mcwhort	er Road		Go	odman		MS	Mississippi	39079
17	16 male	American	Mr.	Stephen	K		Riley	44	126 Oral Lake	Road		Mi	nneapolis		MN	Minnesota	55406
18	17 male	American	Dr.	Arturo	н		Jones	68	33 Smith Stre	et		Но	lden		MA	Massachuset	ts 1520
19	18 female	American	Mrs.	Peggy	К	,	Welsh	31	75 Camden P	lace		Ch	arleston		SC	South Caroli	na 29405
20	19 female	American	Mrs.	Lillian	J		Mejia	49	35 Melody I	ane		Ric	hmond		VA	Virginia	23219
21	20 male	American	Mr.	Joshua	L		Newhall	39	934 Fraggle D	rive		Chi	icago		IL	Illinois	60631
22	21 female	American	Mrs.	Christen	S		Moore	31	76 Del Dew D	rive		Ad	elphi		MD	Maryland	20783
23	22 female	American	Mrs.	Inez	L	,	Witcher	10	567 Court Str	eet		Ch	esterfield		MO	Missouri	63005
24	23 male	American	Mr.	Mario	R		Sims	68	33 Philli Lane			De	laware		OK	Oklahoma	74027
25	24 female	American	Ms.	Jennifer	н		McMahon	42	207 Driftwoo	d Road		Sar	n Francisco		CA	California	94108
26	25 male	American	Mr.	Edward	с		Adams	10	071 Fannie S	treet		Tur	nis		ТХ	Texas	77878
27	26 female	American	Ms.	Marcia	F		Smith	22	233 Clifford S	treet		Sar	nta Rosa		CA	California	95407
28	27 male	American	Mr.	Jessie	Α		Jump	94	19 Sycamore	Lake Ro	ad	Ap	pleton		WI	Wisconsin	54913
29	28 male	American	Mr.	Gary	E		Brown		, 593 Dale Ave				oma		WA	Washington	98407
30	29 male	American	Mr.	Seth	P		Hernandez		10 Dovetail E			Ok	lahoma Cit	y	OK	Oklahoma	73102
31	30 female	American	Mrs.	Florence	Н		Rauch	12	201 Eagle Lar	ie			luth		MN	Minnesota	55811
32	31 female	American	Ms.	Catherine	L		Bowers		L05 Reynolds				oress		CA	California	90630
33	32 female	American	Ms.	Dollie	w		Pineiro		, 259 Ersel Stre			Da			ТХ	Texas	75215
34	33 female	American	Ms.	Carmen	J		Holloway	13	590 Barnes A	venue		Cin	cinnati		ОН	Ohio	45211
35	34 female	American	Mrs.	Helen	J		Courtney		595 Westfall			Tin	nberon		NM	New Mexico	79837
36	35 male	American	Mr.	Kenneth	н		Naylor	4	794 Yorkshire	Circle			ty Hawk		NC	North Caroli	na 27949
37	36 male	American	Mr.	Eduardo	D		, Ortega	46	528 Grand Av	enue			ando		FL	Florida	32801
38	37 female	American	Ms.	Jennifer	A		Spearman		300 Pinnickir		eet	Ro	chelle Park		NJ	New Jersey	7662
			-				· · · · ·										

F9	• :	× ✓	f _x
	А	В	с
1	Gender	(AII)	v
2	TropicalZodiac	(AII)	v
3	BirthYear	(AII)	v
4			
5	Row Labels 🖵	Count of Nam	eSet
6	GA		292
7	AK		40
8	AL		163
9	AR		114
10	AZ		139
11	CA		1128
12	со		162
13	ст		109
14	DC		59
15	DE		34
16	FL		506
	A	В	С
1	Gender	(All)	T
2	TropicalZodiac	(AII)	_
3	BirthYear	2000	Τ.
4			
5	Row Labels 🖃	Count of Na	meSet
6	CA		4
7	со		1
8	СТ		1
9	FL		1
10	IA		1
11	кү		1
12	LA		1
13	MN		2
14	IJ		1
15	NY		1
16	он		2
17	OR		1
18	PA		3
19	RI		1
	SC		
20	SC		2
	SC WI Grand Total		2 1 24

To spell out the issue, we're going to look at the number of people by state that fit different criteria including year of birth, tropical zodiac sign, and gender. If we make this into a pivot table, it looks like this.

The table has 51 rows of data (50 states plus Washington, DC), and the total comes out to 10,000, which is the number of people in the data set.

What would happen if we filter the birth year to 2000? The states without anyone in the data set born in 2000 will disappear so our original table with 51 rows is reduced to 16. That's totally fine for analysis and building charts, but it doesn't help up with a standard listing. Imagine if you didn't know Washington DC was included in the list, would you assume it was zero or that it wasn't included? When the data in the list changes, it's impossible to know what is included. With 50 states, it's not hard to look through them but if the list was made up of cities there are thousands of possibilities!

Because of this potential issue, there is a way to standardize the list but still retain the easy filtering that pivot tables offer.

The first step will be changing all of the filters to (All) and copying and pasting values over the pivot table. To do this, simply copy the entirety of the pivot table and paste values right beside it. Then highlight the numbers under "Count of Name Set" and delete them. Your sheet should look like this:

E1	· · · · ·	$\times \checkmark f_x$				
	А	В	С	D	E	F
1	Gender	(All) 🔻				
2	TropicalZodiac	(AII)				
3	BirthYear	(All)				
4						
5	Row Labels 🖵	Count of NameSet		Row Labels	Count of NameSet	
6	GA	292		GA		
7	AK	40		AK		
8	AL	163		AL		
9	AR	114		AR		
10	AZ	139		AZ		
11	CA	1128		CA		
12	со	162		CO		
13	ст	109		СТ		
14	DC	59		DC		
15	DE	34		DE		
16	FL	506		FL		
17	н	36		HI		
18	A	113		IA		
19	1	42		ID		
20	1	458		IL		
21		208		IN		
22	1	118		KS		
	кү	150		KY		
24	LA	157		LA		
25	MA	304		MA		

From here, we can enter a simple COUNTIFS formula in cell E6 and base it off the filters. The formula we will use is:

=COUNTIFS(Names[Gender],\$B\$1,Names[TropicalZodiac],\$B\$2,Names[BirthYear],\$B\$3,Names[State],D6)

But wait? Shouldn't the two be the same now?

J1	-	×	√ f _x			
	А		В	с	D	E
1	Gender	(All)	-			
2	TropicalZodiac	(All)	-			
3	BirthYear	(All)	-			
4						
5	Row Labels 🖵	Count of	f NameSet		Row Labels	Count of NameSet
6	GA		292		GA	0
7	AK		40		AK	0
8	AL		163		AL	0
9	AR		114		AR	0
10	AZ		139		AZ	0
11	CA		1128		CA	0
12	со		162		CO	0

Not quite, because there is no "(All)" in the data set but it will work if we add criteria to each field. You can see that the pivot table adjusted while our manually added table kept displaying items with zeroes.

0	O10 \checkmark : $\times \checkmark f_x$									
	А	В		с	D	E	F			
1	Gender	female	.							
2	TropicalZodiac	Libra	..							
3	BirthYear	1999	.							
4										
5	Row Labels 🖵	Count of Na	meSet		Row Labels	Count of NameSet				
6	GA		1		GA	1				
7	CA		3		AK	0				
8	ID		1		AL	0				
9	KS		1		AR	0				
10	IJ		1		AZ	0				
11	PA		1		CA	3				

But as nice as that is, wouldn't it be great if the (All)'s worked? It would, and we can make it happen!

We will use the =IF function to tell Excel that if "(All)" is in one of the selection sheets then we'll take everything in that column. The resulting formula looks like this:

=COUNTIFS(Names[Gender],IF(\$B\$1="(All)","*",\$B\$1),Names[TropicalZodi ac],IF(\$B\$2="(All)","*",\$B\$2),Names[BirthYear],IF(\$B\$3="(All)","*",\$B\$3), Names[State],D6)

You can see we have added if statements to check whether (All) is in the criteria field and if it is then search "*", "*" is a wildcard that pulls everything, which fits our needs perfectly.

11	3 *	×	$\checkmark f_x$			
	А		В	С	D	E
1	Gender	(All)	-			
2	TropicalZodiac	(All)	-			
3	BirthYear	(All)	-			
4						
5	Row Labels 🖵	Count of	NameSet		Row Labels	Count of NameSet
6	GA		292		GA	292
7	АК		40		AK	40
8	AL		163		AL	163
9	AR		114		AR	114
10	AZ		139		AZ	139
11	CA		1128		CA	1128
12	со		162		CO	162
13	ст		109		СТ	109
14	DC		59		DC	59
15	DE		34		DE	34
16	FL		506		FL	506
17	н		36		HI	36
18	IA		113		IA	113
19	ID		42		ID	42
20	IL		458		IL	458
21	IN		208		IN	208
22	KS		118		KS	118
23	кү		150		КҮ	150
24	LA		157		LA	157